

218

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.132 of 2015
Date of Decision: 11.08.2017**

RAJINDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs

RACHHVINDER KAUR

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:None.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.

[1]. In the present case, notice of motion was issued on 08.01.2015 with *dasti* process. On 19.02.2015, fresh notice was issued to the respondent on the plea of learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner was not in touch with him. Another opportunity was given to serve the respondent by means of *dasti* process only. Thereafter on number of occasions, fresh notice was issued to the respondent on 15.05.2015 and 04.12.2015 by means of *dasti* process only.

[2]. Vide order dated 03.03.2016, last opportunity was granted to the petitioner to do the needful for 19.08.2016. Process was issued *dasti* only. On 19.08.2016, the case could not be taken up and the same was adjourned by Order for

11.01.2017. On 11.01.2017, the case was adjourned for 16.05.2017 and thereafter it was adjourned for today i.e. 11.08.2017 by order.

[3]. The office report dated 12.05.2017 is indicative of the fact that none turned up to collect the *dasti* notice in pursuance of order dated 03.03.2016. Somehow the case could not be taken up on 16.05.2017 and the same was adjourned for today i.e. 11.08.2017. Even the office report for 11.08.2017 shows that learned counsel for the petitioner has not collected the *dasti* notice from the Registry.

[4]. In view of attending circumstances of the case, it appears that the learned counsel for the petitioner is not interest in pursuing this revision petition.

[5]. Faced with aforementioned situation, this Court is left with no option, but to dismiss the case for non-prosecution.

[6]. Ordered accordingly.

August 11, 2017

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No