

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

COCP No.893 of 2017

Date of Decision:-24.04.2017

Komal & Anr.

.....Petitioners.

Versus

Rahul Sharma & Ors.

.....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present:- Mr. Hardial Singh Baath, Advocate for Petitioner.

JASWANT SINGH, J (ORAL)

As per the record maintained by the Registrar of Births, Panipat the date of birth of Komal (petitioner no.1) is 14.5.1999. She was concededly a minor when she solemonized her marriage with Vikram (petitioner no.2) on 21.03.2017. Since they had performed their marriage against the wishes of the parents of both of them, they filed CRM-M No.10108 of 2017 seeking protection. The said petition was disposed of vide order dated 23.03.2017 with direction to SHO Police Station Killa Chowki Kalandhar Chowk, Panipat to assess the threat to their life and liberty and after verification take action in accordance with law. However, the question of validity of the marriage was left open.

Now the present contempt petition has been filed with primarily the assertion that the parents of the boy are opposing the marriage and hence threatening the matrimonial life of the parties. The wife Komal is also

stated to be eight months pregnant.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Virender soni, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the parents of the boy-respondent nos.3 & 4 and states that they have severed all ties with the boy and would not threaten their matrimonial life in any manner.

Ms. Shubhra Singh, Additional Advocate General, Haryana on instructions from SI Virender Singh, P.S. City Panipati and ASI Rajinder Singh states that the wife Komal being minor has been sent to Nari Niketan by the Illaqa Magistrate at the time of recording of statement of Komal under Section 164 Cr.PC during the investigation undertaken in the FIR No.317 dated 22.03.2017 filed by the parents of the girl, for offences punishable under Sections 363, 366 IPC and Section 6 of the POCSO Act. She however, submits that as such there is no threat to the life and liberty of the parties concerned.

In view of the above, no case for contempt is made out.

Dismissed.

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

April 24, 2017

Vinay

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>