

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

COCP No.846 of 2017

Date of Decision: 25.09.2017

Balwant Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

Sh. Roshan Sunkaria

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. K.S. Rekhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Anu Chatrath, Addl. A.G., Punjab

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel submits that not only the retiral benefits have been released to the petitioner but interest to the tune of Rs.96,657/- has also been paid to her, whereas, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is also entitled for interest on the arrears but the same have not been released so far.

The direction issued in the writ petition was only to decide the representation dated 17.08.2016 and to grant interest on the retiral benefits as admissible under the Government instructions. As far as arrears are concerned, no direction is there but it might be a part of representation.

Since the direction was to consider the representation and the present petition was disposed of with said direction. The petitioner is entitled for interest on the arrears and petitioner is at liberty to make representation to the respondent authorities and in case, such representation

is moved within a period of one month from today, the respondents are directed to take action on the representation by passing a well reasoned speaking order within a period of one month thereafter.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

25.09.2017
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No