

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH****Date of decision: 06.12.2017****C.R. No.1089 of 2015 (O&M)**

Lalita Devi

...Petitioner

Vs.

Ved Parkash &amp; others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA**Present: Mr. Vipul Aggarwal, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.**RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)**

1. This revision petition is preferred by the landlady aggrieved by the orders dated 12.02.2011 and 24.07.2013 passed by the Rent Controller, Mohindergarh and Appellate Authority, Narnaul respectively; together with applications for condoning the delay of 411 days in filing the revision petition and 10 days in re-filing the same.

2. On merits, nothing is urged except a statement made that the petition be allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to file a fresh eviction petition on all the grounds/pleas available to the petitioner under the Act.

3. I have also considered the question of remand, but refrain from passing that order or to seek a report and leave the parties to raise all pleas and defences pro and contra in case a fresh petition is brought before the Rent Authorities by the landlady seeking eviction of the tenant. However, in case it so done, the findings of the Rent Authorities in this case will not be read *res judicata* against the landlady since the entire canvass of facts was

not presented by her, as explained in the grounds of the present revision petition.

4. The petition is dismissed with the liberty and the observations as above.

**06.12.2017**  
Vimal

**[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned:* *Yes*

*Whether Reportable:* *No*