

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCN No.589 of 2017

Date of decision: 03.05.2017

Ranjodh Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

Harjit Singh and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. A.D.S. Jattana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Chandumajra, Addl. A.G., Punjab
for the respondents.

Daya Chaudhary, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel has filed short reply by way of affidavit of respondent No.1 in the Court and the same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned State counsel submits that in pursuance of order passed by this Court on 05.08.2016 in Criminal Misc. No. M-26854 of 2016, speaking order dated 22.04.2017 has been passed and the same has been annexed as Annexure R-1/1 with the short reply.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that order has been passed just to avoid the contempt proceedings and the representation of the petitioner has not been rightly considered. Learned counsel also submits that the car of the petitioner is still lying in the Police Station whereas a wrong finding has been given that car has been handed over to one Paramjit Singh whereas learned State counsel submits that he has himself verified

this fact from Sh. Harpal Singh, Superintendent of Police (Operation), Tarn Taran.

Since only the direction was there to decide representation dated 25.06.2016 moved by the petitioner by passing a speaking order and the same has been passed, nothing remains in this contempt petition and the same is disposed of accordingly.

However, in case, the petitioner is still aggrieved in any manner, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

03.05.2017
neetu

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	Yes