

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.O.C.P. No. 532 of 2017

DATE OF DECISION:07.12.2017

Surinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Kuldip Singh Dhanju

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. VK Shukla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anant Kataria, Advocate for
Mr. M.C. Berry, Advocate
for the respondent.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the recovered amount along with interest has already been paid to the petitioner in the month of April, 2016 i.e. even before filing of this petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that amount of recovery was made on account of refixation of pay of the petitioner and no order regarding refixation has been passed.

Since the order passed by this Court has been complied with, nothing survives in the present contempt petition and the same is disposed of accordingly.

However, the respondent-authorities are directed to pass an order regarding refixation of pay of the petitioner within a period of two months from today.

In case the petitioner will be aggrieved by said order, he will be at liberty to challenge the same.

December 07, 2017
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No