

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.O.C.P. No.490 of 2017

Date of Decision:- 22.5.2017

Parveen Rani

....Petitioner

vs.

Vikash Gupta and another

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr.Sunil Chadha, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Johan Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Chauhan, Advocate,
for the respondents.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that plot has been allotted to petitioner, whereas, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is also entitled for interest on the amount deposited by her as per terms and conditions of the policy.

Since plot has been allotted and compliance of the order has been made, the present contempt petition is disposed of.

In case, the petitioner is aggrieved because of non-payment of interest as per policy, she is at liberty to make a representation to the respondents within a period of one month and respondents are directed to consider the claim of the petitioner and pass necessary order within a period of two months thereafter.

May 22, 2017

poonam

Whether speaking/reasoned

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)

JUDGE

Yes

Whether reportable

No