

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Gurpreet Kaur
2017.05.22 16:08
Attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
high court

COCN No.48 of 2017

Date of Decision: 17.05.2017

Vijay Kaushik

....Petitioner

Versus

Sh. Hanif Qureshi

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Ms. Gurdeep Kaur, Advocate
for Mr. G.C. Shahpuri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Addl. A.G., Haryana

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel submits that Order dated 17.10.2016 passed by this Court has been complied with. Earlier also, the representation of the petitioner was considered in the year 2016 and some delay has occurred because the order passed by this Court was received late in the office.

Since, necessary compliance of order is there, nothing survives in the contempt petition and the same is disposed of accordingly.

However, in case, the petitioner is aggrieved by said order, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

17.05.2017

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No