

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

COCP No.3884 of 2017

Date of Decision: 22.12.2017

Jitender Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Ms. Gayatri

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The grievance of the petitioner in the present petition is that the Election Petition was filed on 23.02.2016 and the notices were issued for 26.02.2016. After filing the written statement by the respondent, even the issues were framed on 18.04.2016. As per directions issued by this Court in ***CWP No.3227 of 2016***, the election petition was to be decided within a period of six months from the date of its filing but in spite of issuance of specific directions, the election petition is still pending even after a period of more than one year and nine months. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the next date fixed in the election petition is 19.01.2018 and the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, the directions are issued by this Court to decide the same as expeditiously as possible.

Keeping in view the limited prayer and by considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner that in spite of

directions issued by this Court, the election petition has not been finally decided, whereas, the period of more than one year and nine months has passed, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent to make all efforts to conclude and decide the election petition on the next date of hearing but not then one month thereafter. The compliance report be sent to this Court after its decision.

Disposed of accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

22.12.2017
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No