

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.O.C.P. No.872 of 2015
Date of Decision: 21.02.2017**

Sita Ram

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sh. Raj Kamal Chowdhry, Secretary-cum-Director,
Information & Technology Department, Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mz. Sudeepti Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/contemner assisted by
Sh. D.P.Sehgal, Assistant Controller (Finance & Accounts),
Information & Technology Department, Punjab.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (Oral)

The petitioner had filed CWP No.25876 of 2012 for counting his regular service as Driver w.e.f. 19.11.1981 to 09.02.1997, rendered in the Punjab State Leather Development Corporation Ltd. towards qualifying service for pension and other retiral dues. The said petition was allowed vide order dated 02.09.2014 (**Annexure P-1**) with a direction to the respondents to revise the petitioner's pensionary benefits after taking into consideration the service rendered by him with the Corporation from 19.11.1981 to 09.02.1997 till the time he was absorbed with the respondents. It was further directed that the arrears of pension shall be paid to the petitioner within a period of six months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of the order along with interest which is determined as 7% per annum from the date when such benefits would have become available to the petitioner till the date of its realization. It was also directed that the

petitioner would, however, pay his employee-share of the Provident Fund along with interest within two months from the date such amount is calculated by the respondents and conveyed to him. It was further directed that the respondents shall also be at liberty to adjust this amount against the arrears of pension on an undertaking given by the petitioner in this regard. Since the needful was not done, hence the present contempt petition.

Upon notice, reply has been filed, wherein it is submitted that the due amounts have since been released and the same also accredited to the account(s) of the petitioner. This fact is not disputed by learned opposite Counsel.

In view of the above, no further action is warranted.

Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed as infructuous.

February 21, 2017
Gagan

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No