

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.O.C.P. No.810 of 2016

Date of Decision: 27.02.2017

Satpal Bedi

..... Petitioner

Versus

Kesani Anand, Financial Commissioner and Principal Secretary,
Education Department, Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Shyam Singh Chhokar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidharth Sanwaria, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondents/contemnors.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (Oral)

The petitioner/Social Science Master (retd.) had filed CWP No.23259 of 2012 for counting of his service w.e.f. 14.04.1973 to 14.01.1979, rendered against the aided post of JBT Teacher towards pensionary benefits. The said petition was disposed of vide order dated 18.08.2015 (**Annexure P-1**) with a direction to the petitioner to approach the concerned-respondent by furnishing his service particulars. It was further directed that the respondents shall verify the same and determine the CPF amount as well as 10 per cent annual compounded interest. It was also directed that after such determination of CPF amount and interest, then the petitioner has to deposit the determined amount in the Government Treasury and thereafter, he has to approach the concerned respondent to seek counting the service rendered against aided post towards grant of pensionary benefits. It was also directed to pass orders i.e. fixation of pensionary benefits, pension and arrears of pension to the petitioner. The

said exercise was ordered to be completed within a period of six months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. Since the needful was not done, hence the present contempt petition.

Upon notice, reply by way of affidavit dated 13.12.2016 of Sh. R.S.Kharb, Director, Elementary Education, Haryana has been filed, wherein it is submitted that the benefits of counting the service of the petitioner in the Govt. Aided Private School w.e.f. 14.04.1973 to 14.01.1979 and in the Govt. School w.e.f. 15.01.1979 to 31.01.2004 have since been released to the petitioner vide order dated 17.11.2016 (**Annexure R-1**).

In response, learned Counsel for the petitioner concedes that the aforesaid benefits have been released to the petitioner.

In view of the above, no further action is warranted.

Accordingly, the present contempt petition stands dismissed as infructuous.

February 27, 2017

Gagan

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>