

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP No.781 of 2016

Date of decision : 14.11.2017

Kiran Devi and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Vikash Dhanda

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Surinder Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate for the respondent.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that development work is going on and because of that reason the delay has occurred. The physical possession of the plot would be given within a period of two months from today.

In view of the submission made by learned counsel for the respondent, the present contempt petition is disposed of.

However, in case there would be any delay after expiry of period of two months, the petitioner is at liberty to move application for revival of contempt petition and cost of ₹50,000/- shall be imposed upon the respondent for not handing over the possession.

14.11.2017

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No