

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.O.C.P. No.760 of 2016
Date of Decision: 14.03.2017**

Pawan Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Shri Nitin Yadav, IAS, The Managing Director,
Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited, Panchkula

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. S.N.Pillania, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Surinder Kumar Mahajan, Advocate for the respondent.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (Oral)

The petitioner/Section Officer had filed CWP No.25818 of 2014, seeking directions to the respondents to consider and decide his Legal Notice dated 11.09.2014. The said petition was disposed of by this Court vide order dated 17.12.2014 (**Annexure P-1**), with a direction to the Managing Director, Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. (respondent No.2 therein) to look into the matter, consider the grievance of the petitioner raised vide his Legal Notice dated 11.9.2014 and decide the same at an early date, by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law, but in any case within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of the order. Since the needful was not done within the stipulated time, hence the present contempt petition.

Upon notice, reply has been filed, wherein it is submitted that the claim of the petitioner for inter-utility transfer has been considered and the same has been rejected by passing a detailed speaking order dated 15.07.2016 (**Annexure R-1**).

Learned Counsel for the respondent submits that the present petition has become infructuous as the directions were to decide the legal notice by passing a speaking order, which stand complied with.

In view of the above, no further action is warranted.

Accordingly, the present contempt petition stands dismissed as infructuous.

The petitioner is free to challenge the aforesaid speaking order in accordance with law.

March 14, 2017
Gagan

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No