

***_IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

COCP No.724 of 2016

Date of Decision: 09.08.2017

Vijay Kumar Chauhan

....Petitioner

Versus

Gurpreet Singh Khehra and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. J.P. Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, A.A.G., Punjab

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in pursuance of directions issued by this Court, a Committee was constituted for monitoring the encroachment and the said Committee is stated to be working effectively.

Sh. Basant Garg, Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar is also present in the Court and submits that the orders passed by this Court on various occasions have been complied with and even in future, if something comes to the notice of the Committee, it will be taken into consideration and necessary action will be taken against the encroacher, if any.

In view of the submissions made by Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar and also the submissions made by learned counsel

for the parties, nothing survives in the contempt petition and the same is disposed of accordingly.

However, in case, subsequently the same situation arises when the Committee is not in a position to remove the encroachment, the petitioner is at liberty to move an application for revival of contempt petition.

Personal appearance of Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar is hereby exempted.

09.08.2017
gupreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No