

Gurpreet Kaur
2017.03.29 10:13
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
High court

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

COCP No.354 of 2017

Date of Decision: 27.03.2017

Hari Chand Salaria

....Petitioner

Versus

D.P. Reddy

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Tejinder Pal Singh, Advocate
for Ms. Jigyasa Tanwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, D.A.G., Punjab

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondent has filed reply in the Court today and the same is taken on record. A copy, thereof, has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order passed in the writ petition on 22.09.2016, the matter has been considered and a speaking order has been passed on 20.02.2017, which is annexed as Annexure R-1 with the reply.

Since the compliance of order is there, nothing survives in the petition.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

However, in case, the petitioner is still aggrieved by the said order, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

27.03.2017
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No