

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.O.C.P. No.488 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 22.02.2017**

Shashi Sharma and others (LRs of deceased Ashok Kumar)

..... Petitioners

Versus

Ran Singh Poonia, General Manager, Haryana Roadways, Rohtak

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Kartar Singh Malik-I, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sidharth Sanwaria, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/contemner.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (Oral)

The petitioners are legal heirs of deceased-Ashok Kumar, who along with others had filed CWP No.986 of 2013 seeking directions to the respondents to grant the benefit of revised technical pay scales as granted in terms of the order dated 18.01.2010 passed by this Court in CWP No.18754 of 1991. The said petition was disposed of vide order dated 21.01.2013 (**Annexure P-1**) with a direction to the General Manager, Haryana Roadways, Rohtak to consider the claim of the petitioners and to take a final decision on the representation dated 09.06.2011, that already stands submitted, strictly in accordance with law and by passing a speaking order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. It was clarified that in the eventuality of the petitioners being found entitled to the claim as raised in the representation, it shall be open for the respondent-authorities to confine the benefit of actual arrears to a period of 38 months prior to the filing of the present writ petition.

It is submitted that the said benefit has already been granted to the petitioners therein except deceased-Ashok Kumar, who died on 13.03.2013, hence the present contempt petition.

Upon notice, reply on behalf of the respondent has been filed, wherein it is submitted that the claim of the deceased has been re-considered and granted the pay scales and arrears of Rs.3,82,331/- have been deposited in the account of the petitioner vide order dated 04.07.2016 (**Annexure R-2**).

In response, learned Counsel for the petitioner concedes that the present petition has become infructuous.

In view of above, no further action is warranted.

Accordingly, the present contempt petition stands dismissed as infructuous.

February 22, 2017
Gagan

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>