

(226)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP No.339 of 2017

Date of decision : 09.08.2017

Ram Prasad

.....Petitioner

Versus

Shatrujeet Singh Kapoor

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Sandeep Verma, Advocate for petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Girdhar, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the order passed in favour of the petitioner has already been complied with even before filing of the contempt petition. The details are mentioned in para No.7 of the affidavit dated 20.03.2017.

Vide order order dated 26.11.2015, only direction was given to the respondent to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner as mentioned in legal notice dated 15.07.2015.

Since necessary compliance of the order has been made, the present petition is disposed of accordingly.

However, in case the petitioner is having any grievance, in any manner, he is at liberty to move representation to the competent authority and in case such representation is made within a period of one month, the

respondent is directed to decide the same in accordance with law within a period of two months thereafter.

09.08.2017

sunil yadav

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No