

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

COCN No.3305 of 2017

Date of Decision: November 10, 2017.

Harbhajan

....Petitioner

Versus

Mohammad Tayyab, Deputy Commissioner, Kapurthala.

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr.Sanish Girdhar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Rajan Gupta, J (Oral)

Notice of motion.

On the asking of court, Mr. Harsimran Singh Sethi,
Addl.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State of Punjab.

Petitioner alleges violation of order dated 31.7.2017 passed by
the writ court. Operative part thereof reads as under:-

“The plea of discrimination made out by the
petitioner undoubtedly requires attention of the
authorities. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of
without expressing any views on merits with a direction
to the Deputy Commissioner-cum-Collector, Kapurthala
who shall get the fact finding enquiry conducted from the
BDPO and take necessary action in the matter within a
period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a certified
copy of this order.

Till such time status quo re:possession of the
land under lease of the petitioner be maintained.”

Learned State counsel submits that in case order has not been
complied with till now, immediate steps shall be taken to do the needful at

the earliest in any case not later than one month.

In view of statement made by learned State counsel, no further direction is necessary.

In case, fresh cause of action accrues to the petitioner to invoke the contempt jurisdiction of this court, exemplary costs shall be imposed which would be deducted from the salary of the officer(s) responsible for delay/non compliance.

Disposed of.

(Rajan Gupta)
Judge

November 10, 2017.

BB

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No