

COCP No. 3346 of 2014

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 3346 of 2014

DATE OF DECISION:23.01.2017

Jagjit Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

P.C. Gajbhiye and others

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Naresh Kaushal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Puneet Jindal, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Sakshi, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. PS Bajwa, Addl. A.G., Punjab
for respondent No.3.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for respondents No.1 and 2 as well as 3 submit that order passed by this Court in writ petition has been complied with as the payment has been released to the petitioners.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the petitioners contends that neither the land of the petitioners has been acquired nor any compensation has been released to them.

Admittedly as per order passed by this Court in writ petition, the case of the petitioners was to be considered and the same has been considered.

Since, there is no violation of the order passed by this Court, nothing survives in the present petition and the same is disposed of accordingly.

However, in case the petitioners are aggrieved in any manner, they are at liberty to make representation to the respondents within a period of one month from the date of receipt of copy of the order. In case such representation is submitted by the petitioners to the respondents, the respondents are directed to pass a speaking order with regard to the fact as to how the petitioners are not entitled for the claim mentioned in the representation. In case the petitioners are required for personal hearing, the same be given to them.

In case the petitioners are still aggrieved in any manner, they are at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

January 23, 2017
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No