

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP-3281-2017 (O & M)

Date of decision: 09.11.2017

Ranjit Singh Malik

....Petitioner(s)

V/s

Dr. Gajender Singh Jakhar and anr.

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Sandeep Singal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Rajan Gupta, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Rohit Arya, AAG, Haryana,
present in court, accepts notice.

Petitioner alleges violation of order dated 21.08.2017,
Annexure P-1, passed by the writ court. Operative part thereof reads as
under:-

*“Accordingly, without commenting upon the merits of the case
and keeping in view the above facts, as averred, which are supported
by annexures on record, that the petitioner’s case was kept pending on
account of the fact that he was suspended and that he has now been
duly reinstated. Accordingly, there is no such hurdle for considering
his case for promotion, afresh and in view of the fact that the
petitioner has already filed representation dated 10.04.2017
(Annexure P-6) to respondent No.1, it is directed that the said
respondent shall take a decision on the same within a period of 4
weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, an
adverse order is to be passed, the same be communicated to the
petitioner.”*

Learned State counsel, at the outset, submits that in case needful has not been done already, appropriate steps shall be taken forthwith, in any case, not later than one month.

In view of statement made by learned State counsel, no further direction is necessary. In case, any fresh cause of action accrues to the petitioners to invoke contempt jurisdiction of the court, apart from initiating proceedings under the Act, it shall also impose exemplary costs, which shall be deducted from the salary of the officers responsible for delay/non-compliance.

Disposed of.

November 09, 2017
sukhpreet

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No