

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

COCP No.3263 of 2017

Date of Decision: 04.12.2017

Sat Pal and another

....Petitioners

Versus

Sanjay Dahiya and another

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Jayoti Parshad Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for initiating the contempt proceedings against the respondents for willfully disobeying the order passed by this Court on 18.05.2017 as well as on 01.06.2017 in ***CWP No.17564 of 2007***.

Vide order dated 18.05.2017, the respondents were directed to extend the service benefit including monetary benefits within a period of two months from the date of passing of order. It was also mentioned that if the concerned respondent feels that the petitioners' services are not required, then notice be issued to them and thereafter, the same be considered by passing a speaking order. Thereafter, the ***Review Application No.285 of 2017*** was filed, which was disposed of with a direction to the petitioners to make representation to the respondent authorities and in case, such representation is made, the respondents were directed to decide the same in

terms of order dated 18.05.2017 passed in **CWP No.17564 of 2007**.

The respondents have now passed speaking order on 29.06.2017. In case, the petitioners are aggrieved by said order, the remedy is available with them to challenge the said order but instead of challenging the same, the present contempt petition has been filed. The direction was there only to decide the representation by passing a speaking order.

No contempt is made out. The petition is accordingly dismissed with the liberty aforementioned.

04.12.2017
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No