

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

COCP No.3305 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: May 30, 2017

Nihal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Sh. Sudhir Rajpal

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Sandeep Antehri, Advocate for
Mr. Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Arya, AAG, Haryana.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

Petitioner alleges violation of order dated 21.07.2015, passed
by the writ court, operative part thereof reads as under:-

“Even before this court the only stand taken by the respondent Corporation is that at the time when the policy decisions were in force the petitioner was not on the rolls of the Corporation and now he could not be regularized since the said policy decisions have been withdrawn by the State Government.

In my opinion, the argument of the learned counsel for the respondent-Corporation cannot prevail. Once the petitioner has been granted continuity of service it would be deemed that he was in service on the date when the policy was introduced and therefore the respondent-Corporation would have to consider his claim of regularization from the date/s his juniors were regularized.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms. The respondent No.1-Corporation is directed to pass final order within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case the claim is accepted consequential benefits be granted within a further period of two months.”

Learned State counsel submits that services of the petitioner have been regularized. Payment of Rs.2,64,480/- has been released to the petitioner. Copies of the order dated 17th March, 2017, passed by the respondent and RTGS Transfer have been placed on record.

In view of above, no cause of action survives in this petition. Same is hereby dismissed. Rule is discharged.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

May 30, 2017
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No