

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.O.C.P. No.3251 of 2016 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 03.05.2017**

Kulwinder Singh

..... Petitioner

*Versus*

Sh. D.D.Sharma, Deputy General Manager (Personnel),  
Punjab and Sind Bank and another

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH**

Present: Mr. Ravinder Malik (Ravi) , Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.N.Lohan, Advocate for the respondents.

\*\*\*\*

**JASWANT SINGH, J. (Oral)**

The claim of the petitioner for an out of turn promotion under the Sports Quota to the Cadre of Junior Management, Grade Scale-I (JMGS-I) with effect from the date of application i.e. 29.01.2008 was directed to be considered within three months of the passing of the order dated 03.08.2016 (**Annexure P-1**), passed by the learned Single Judge of this Court in CWP No.25785 of 2014, filed by the petitioner.

Upon notice, the respondents have filed reply by way of an affidavit dated 15.04.2017 of Sh. Satbir Singh, Zonal Manager, Punjab and Sind Bank, Zonal Office, Jalandhar. In Para 3 of the reply, it is stated that the claim of the petitioner has been re-considered in compliance of the order dated 03.08.2016 (**P-1**) and the necessary relief has been granted by passing an order dated 20.02.2017 (**Annexure R-2**), annexed with the affidavit.

A counter affidavit dated 03.05.2017 of the petitioner-Kulwinder Singh has been filed in Court to rebut the claim of the respondents. It is stated

that the petitioner, on his own turn, had been promoted as JMGS-I w.e.f. 08.02.2016, and by granting out of turn promotion w.e.f. 29.01.2008, his pay has been reduced. He has, by annexing the documents, tried to substantiate the plea.

After hearing learned Counsel for the parties and perusing the above, this Court finds that as far as the directions contained in the order dated 03.08.2016 (P-1), the same stand complied with by passing of the order at R-2. The question of reduction of pay is a separate cause of action, qua which the petitioner is at liberty to make a representation and the Counsel for the respondent assures the Court that the same shall be considered and decided by passing a speaking order in accordance with law.

In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of.

The respondents will be bound by their statement made through their Counsel.

May 03, 2017

Gagan

(JASWANT SINGH)  
JUDGE

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes/No |