

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**COCN No.3238 of 2016
Date of Decision:- 25.04.2017**

Ram Singh and others

....Petitioners

VS.

Gurpreet Singh Thind

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. S.S. Chandumajra, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that in compliance of order passed by this Court on 25.5.2015, a decision has been taken by passing an award dated 3.3.2017. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners today in the Court.

As per order dated 25.5.2015, a direction was issued to take a decision on legal notice dated 2.3.2015 in accordance with law by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of order but the same has not been complied with, in spite of notice of contempt issued on 20.12.2016. It was directed to file reply within a period of six weeks from that date, failing which, the same was to be accepted with costs of ₹ 10,000/-. It appears that reply has not been filed just to avoid the cost amount. Not only there is delay in compliance of order dated 25.5.2015 but subsequent directions issued vide order dated

20.12.2016 have also not been complied with as instead of filing rely, copy of the award has been given to the petitioners straightway.

Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks liberty to challenge the award.

Keeping in view the above facts and circumstances, the present contempt petition is disposed of. However, an amount of ₹ 20,000/- is imposed as costs for causing delay and harassment, to be paid to the petitioners by way of separate demand drafts amounting to ₹ 5,000/- each.

Contempt petition stands disposed of.

April 25, 2017
poonam

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No