

COCP No. 3244 of 2015

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 3244 of 2015

DATE OF DECISION:07.11.2017

ASI Satya Parkash

.....Petitioner

Versus

Shri Kant

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Neeraj Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. SS Mann, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that claim of the petitioner has been considered and he has also been promoted.

Notice in the contempt was issued only on the ground that the petitioner has not been promoted from the date his juniors have been promoted.

Vide order dated 19.11.2014 passed by this Court, writ petition was disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to file comprehensive representation as regards his claim and said representation was to be decided by the competent authority by passing a speaking order.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that for promotion, 50% good reports were required but the confidential reports of the petitioner were only satisfactory. Learned counsel further contends that after considering the representation of the petitioner, a speaking order dated 27.2.2015 has been passed by the respondent-authorities, which is annexed

as Annexure R-1 with the reply.

In view of the above, nothing survives in the present contempt petition and the same is disposed of accordingly.

In case, the petitioner is aggrieved in any manner by the aforesaid order, he is at liberty to challenge the same.

November 07, 2017	(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
pooja	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No