

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.3222 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : 03.11.2017**

Dr. Prakash Chand

.....Petitioner

Versus

Anand Mohan and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Raman Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Nagar Singh, Advocate for
Mr. A. S. Virk, Advocate for respondents.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the petitioner applied under the amended Rules and he has already been allotted a house. The same has been admitted by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Rules were amended subsequently but the petitioner was entitled for house under the unamended Rules. The petitioner is apprehending that he may not be dispossessed by the respondents.

Since the allotment of the house has been made, may be under the amended scheme and the petitioner is satisfied, nothing survives in the present contempt petition and the same is disposed of accordingly.

03.11.2017

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No