

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-3203-2016

Date of decision: 12.07.2017

Virender Kumar and others

...Petitioners

V/s.

Rajni Sekhri Sibal and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY.

Present:- Mr. Ravinder Malik, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Siddharth Sanwaria, DAG, Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that order passed on 22.04.2016 in CWP No. 7214 of 2016 has been complied with, whereas learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is delay in compliance of the order though copy of the order was also supplied but still compliance was not made and the petitioner had to file the present contempt petition. Learned counsel submits that now the order has been passed and the claim has been rejected.

There is delay of approximately six months as per submission made by learned counsel for the State as copy of the order was received on 22.07.2016.

However, in case the petitioners are aggrieved in any manner, they are at liberty to avail appropriate remedy in accordance with law, but for causing delay, cost of ₹10,000/- is imposed upon the respondents which shall be recovered from the pocket of erring officer/official and the same be paid within a period of one month to the petitioners by way of draft and the same be shared equally.

The contempt petition is disposed of accordingly.

July 12, 2017

Divyanshi

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No