

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN No.3196 of 2016 (O&M) IN

CWP No.11518 OF 2016

DATE OF DECISION : 30TH JANUARY, 2017

Sandeep Kumar

.... **Petitioner**

Versus

Navraj Sandhu

.... **Respondent**

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

* * * *

Present : Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Sheoran, Additional Advocate General, Haryana

* * * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The writ petition was disposed of by this Court vide order dated 02.06.2016 with a direction to respondent to decide the representations Annexures P-4 to P-6, by passing a speaking order in accordance with Rules/law but the order has not been complied with by the respondent and the present contempt petition has been filed.

In response to the notice of motion, reply by way of short affidavit has been filed by the respondent in court today and the same is taken on record.

Learned State counsel, on the basis of the reply, submits that the compliance of the order has been made and representations of the petitioner have been decided and all benefits, except ACP, have been granted to the petitioner.

In view of the above, the order passed in the writ petition has been complied with. The contempt petition is disposed of accordingly. In case the petitioner is still aggrieved in any manner, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

30th January, 2016
‘raj’

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE