

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

COCP No.3127 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 30.10.2017

Tarsem Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

Kamal Kumar Garg

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Mohit Sadana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The present contempt petition has been filed only on the ground that vide order dated 30.08.2017, the writ petition was disposed of by granting liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondent authorities regarding claim for appointment to the post of Junior Field Investigator.

As per case of learned counsel for the petitioner, a representation was submitted to the respondent authorities on 12.10.2017 but no order has been passed.

On perusal of order dated 30.08.2017, it appears that simply a liberty was granted to the petitioner to approach the respondent authorities and as such, no contempt is made out.

Since the representation was made by the petitioner to the respondent authorities on 12.10.2017 but no action has been taken thereupon, accordingly, in the interest of justice, a direction is issued to the

respondent authorities to consider representation dated 12.10.2017 moved by the petitioner and take necessary action thereupon in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

30.10.2017
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No