

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN No.3188 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision : 14.11.2017

V. K. Suri

.....Petitioner

Versus

D. K. Behera and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Manohar Lall, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S. S. Mann, Senior DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Anil Kumar Gahlawat, Advocate for respondent No.3.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

The contempt petition is pending since 2015. On the last date of hearing, the case was adjourned as details of the payments were supplied but learned counsel for the petitioners sought time to verify the same.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that same position is there for the last many years and the respondents are not giving any details of the payment for the year 2009 and no order has been passed so far. As far as other benefits are concerned, they had already been released.

Keeping in view the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, respondents No.1 & 2 are directed to consider the claim of dearness relief for the year 2009. In case the petitioner is found to be

entitled for said relief, the same be given within a period of one month. In case the petitioner is not found entitled then a detailed speaking order be passed. In case presence of petitioner is required, he be intimated. However, if any adverse order is passed, the petitioner is at liberty to challenge the same.

The contempt petition is disposed of accordingly.

14.11.2017

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No