

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Gurpreet Kaur
2017.11.08 12:14
Attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
high court

COCN No.3171 of 2015

Date of Decision: 07.11.2017

Satnam Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

B. Purushatha

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. A.D.S. Sukhija, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, A.A.G., Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

In view of order passed on 10.07.2015 in CWP No.1689 of 2015, the mining activity has admittedly been stopped but as per grievance of the petitioner, the quality of land has not only been diminished but much loss has been caused during rainy season because of the earlier activity of the mining.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is entitled for compensation as value of the land has been diminished. The disputed question of facts cannot be decided in the contempt petition.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

The petition is disposed of with liberty aforesaid.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

07.11.2017

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No