

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.O.C.P. No. 3090 of 2016

DATE OF DECISION:06.07.2017

Hansa Tubes Pvt. Ltd.

.....Petitioner

Versus

Manvesh Singh Sidhu and others

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Avnish Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rupinder S. Khosla, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Sarvesh Malik, Advocate
for the respondents.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned senior counsel for the respondents submits that necessary benefits as well as payment have been released to the petitioner, whereas, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is delay in making the payment and the petitioner is entitled for interest.

Since the order passed by this Court has been complied with, nothing survives in the present contempt petition and the same is disposed of accordingly.

As far as the claim of the petitioner regarding interest is concerned, he is at liberty to make representation to the respondents within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

In case any such representation is made to the respondents, they are directed to consider the same within a period of four weeks thereafter.

July 06, 2017
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No