

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.O.C.P. No. 3094 of 2015

DATE OF DECISION:24.10.2017

Roshan Lal

.....Petitioner

Versus

Brijendra Singh

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Davender Gors, Advocate for
Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.K. Mahajan, Advocate
for the respondent.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that alternative plot of 8 marlas has been allotted to the petitioner, whereas, he was entitled for 10 marlas plot.

Since the alternative plot has been allotted to the petitioner, nothing survives in the present contempt petition and the same is disposed of accordingly.

In case the petitioner is still aggrieved in any manner, he is at liberty to make representation to the competent authority.

Disposed of accordingly.

October 24, 2017
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No