

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN No.3090 of 2015

Date of decision : 20.07.2017

Satwinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

C. Roul and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Ishan Sharma, Advocate for petitioner.

Ms. Anu Chatrath Kapur, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Order dated 11.05.2015 (Annexure P-2) was to be complied with within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. Legal notice was served upon the respondents on 10.10.2015. It is not known to the respondents when the copy of legal notice was received by them but it can be presumed that the legal notice was received by the respondents at the most after 10 or 15 days *i.e.* upto 25.10.2015. The compliance of the order has been made on 15.03.2016 *i.e.* beyond the period of three months.

Learned State counsel submits that the official, who was instrument in causing delay, is likely to be issued the charge-sheet.

The petition is disposed of.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy, if aggrieved in any manner.

20.07.2017

sunil yadav

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No