

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCN No. 3058 of 2015 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: October 26, 2017**

**SHRI PAL & ORS**

**...Petitioners**

**Versus**

**KIRPA SHANKAR SAROJ & ORS**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY**

Present:- Mr. P.K. Goklaney, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.  
Ms. Anu Chatrath, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)**

Learned counsel for the respondents-State submits that in pursuance of order dated 17.08.2015, the petitioners have been regularized whereas learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were entitled to be regularized in view of Policy dated 23.01.2001.

As per stand of learned counsel for respondents-State the sanctioned posts were not available and services of the petitioners could not be regularized as per policy of the year 2001. In case the petitioners are still aggrieved that they are entitled for regularization on completion of three years of service, they are at liberty to challenge the action of the respondents-State in not regularising them.

The contempt petition is disposed of accordingly.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)  
JUDGE**

**October 26, 2017**  
 *jyoti-3*

*Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable*

*Yes/No  
Yes/No*