

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCF No.3047 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 16.11.2017

Ajmat Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Anil Kumar

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Dr. Suresh Kumar Redhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. J. S. Cooner, Advocate for the respondent.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

On perusal of order dated 01.09.2016, it reveals that a direction was issued to respondent No.2 to furnish the complete details of the payment to the petitioner specifically pointing out whether they have included the amount on account of interest as well or not. Payment had been released but neither in the reply nor in any communication, it has been mentioned that interest has been paid.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent submits that interest has not been included.

The petitioner is at liberty to move representation regarding grant of interest to him within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. In case such representation is moved by the petitioner, the respondent-authorities are directed to consider the

representation and if the petitioner is found to be entitled for interest, the same be granted to the petitioner within a period of six weeks thereafter.

In case the petitioner is still aggrieved by passing of any order, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

Disposed of.

16.11.2017

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No