

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Gurpreet Kaur
2017.05.22 16:08
Attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
high court

COCN No.3043 of 2016

Date of Decision: 18.05.2017

Ram Kumar and others

....Petitioners

Versus

Dr. J. Ganesan

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. S.K. Mahajan, Advocate
for the respondent.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that in pursuance of order passed on 27.05.2016 in CWP No.10752 of 2016, the claim as mentioned in the legal notice, has been considered and necessary benefit has been granted to the petitioners. He has also placed on record the photocopy of order dated 29.03.2017.

Since the compliance of order dated 27.05.2016 is there, nothing survives in the contempt petition.

The same is disposed of accordingly.

However, in case, the petitioners are still aggrieved in any manner, they are at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

18.05.2017
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No