

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 2877 of 2015(O&M)
Date of decision : February 23, 2017

Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd.
..... Petitioner
Versus

S. K. Suman
..... Respondent
COCP No. 2878 of 2015(O&M)

Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd.
..... Petitioner
Versus

S. K. Suman
..... Respondent
COCP No. 2885 of 2015(O&M)

Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd.
..... Petitioner
Versus

S. K. Suman
..... Respondent
COCP No. 2984 of 2015(O&M)

Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd.
..... Petitioner
Versus

S. K. Suman
..... Respondent

Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd.
..... Petitioner
Versus

S. K. Suman
..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Arvind Rajotia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumeet Goel, Advocate and
Ms. Manju Goyal, Advocate
for the respondent.

Daya Chaudhary, J (oral).

As per order dated 14.9.2015, passed by this Court, the attachment of the appellant's account was to be kept in abeyance for a period of one week from preparation of certified copy of the order. The period spent in filing the writ petitions and the appeals was to be excluded while considering delay, if any, in filing the appeals.

Learned counsel for the parties submit that the delay has occurred due to communication gap between the parties as the appellant's account was to be kept in abeyance for a period of one week from preparation of certified copy whereas it was understood from the date of passing of the order. Even the language of the legal notice was also not clear which created doubt. Necessary compliance has been made and nothing survives in the contempt petition.

The Contempt Petition, is accordingly disposed of.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

**February 23, 2017
archana**