

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN No.2722 of 2017

Date of decision : 18.12.2017

Dharam Pal Garg

.....Petitioner

Versus

Vikas Partap and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Ramesh Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Sudeepti Sharm, Addl. A. G., Punjab
for respondents No.1 & 2.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, Advocate for respondent No.3.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Reply, by way of affidavit of respondent No.2, has been filed in the Court today and the same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner is not entitled for ACP, whereas learned counsel for the petitioner submits that said benefit has been granted to other similarly situated persons as is clear from Annexures P-2 and P-3.

Respondent-authorities are directed to consider the claim of the petitioner by passing a speaking and detailed order after considering Annexures P-2 and P-3 within a period of three weeks.

The contempt petition is disposed of accordingly.

18.12.2017

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No