

**225 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN No.2775 of 2016(O&M)
DATE OF DECISION:09.11.2017

Anita Pahwa

...Petitioner

Vs.

Shri G. Vajralingam & others

..Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. S. S. Rangi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gagandeep Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.(ORAL)

Vide order dated 28.09.2015 passed in CWP No.20505 of 2015, a direction was issued to respondent No.2 i.e Director General, School Education, Punjab to look into the grievance of the petitioner specifically made in the complaint dated 10.08.2015 and to take appropriate action in accordance with law. When no action was taken within the reasonable time, petitioner has filed the present contempt petition wherein notice of motion was issued on 03.11.2016 and the reply was filed which is on record.

Learned State counsel has brought to the notice of this Court that inquiry has been initiated in compliance of aforesaid order. The Inquiry Officer was appointed on 18.01.2016 who submitted his report on 04.04.2016. Thereafter, regular inquiry was recommended. Even charge sheet has been issued under Rule 8 of Punjab Punishment and Appeal

COCF No.2775 of 2016 :2:

Rules, 1970 vide order dated 11.05.2017 of Additional Secretary School Education, Punjab and inquiry against the petitioner is being conducted as per rules.

The petitioner in the contempt petition is still aggrieved on the ground that the delay is being caused in conclusion of the Inquiry and the action may not be taken during service period of Davinder Kaur.

Accordingly, respondents are directed to make all efforts to conclude the inquiry and take necessary action preferably within a period of three months.

Disposed of.

09.11.2017
rimal

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
Whether reportable:	Yes/No