

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCN No.2699 of 2017**

**Date of decision : 31.10.2017**

**M/s Munish Kumar Bansal, contractor**

.....Petitioner

*Versus*

**Anirudh Tiwari and others**

...Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY**

Present : Mr. R. K. Girdhar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Anu Chatrath, Addl. A. G., Punjab  
for the respondent No.1.

Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Advocate for respondent No.3.

\* \* \*

**DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)**

Reply on behalf of respondent No.2 has been filed today in the Court and the same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that because of inadvertent mistake in the writ petition as well as in the legal notice, less amount was mentioned, whereas learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that amount claimed in the legal notice has been released.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the present contempt petition is disposed of accordingly.

However, in case any difference in amount is there, which is due to the petitioner, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

**31.10.2017**

*sunil yadav*

**( DAYA CHAUDHARY )  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No