

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.O.C.P. No. 2747 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 06.02.2017**

Ritu Sharma

....Petitioner

vs.

Shri Hardeep Kumar and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.K. Mittal, DAG, Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the State submits that order passed by this Court has been complied with and the petitioner has been promoted, whereas, learned counsel for the petitioner states that initially the petitioner was promoted but subsequently he has been reverted vide order dated 11.3.2016 in defiance of order passed in the review petition.

On perusal of order dated 29.5.2014, it transpires that the writ petition was disposed of only with a direction to the Superintending Engineer, Ambala Circle, PWD (B&R) Branch (respondent No. 3) to consider and decide the representation dated 23.12.2013 and the said order has also been challenged by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw this petition with liberty to raise all pleas before the writ Court.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

February 06, 2017

poonam

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)

JUDGE

Yes

No