

(219)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN No.2717 of 2016

Date of decision : 29.08.2017

Chander Bhan

.....Petitioner

Versus

S. S. Dhillon and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Ms. Dilbag Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. S.S. Mann, Senior DAG, Haryana.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

As per order dated 12.04.2016, impugned orders dated 21.10.2008 and 13.08.2012 were set aside and the respondents were directed to give all service benefits to the petitioner by treating him into the service with effect from 21.10.2008 till the date of his retirement *i.e.* 31.07.2014. The petitioner was also held entitled for all consequential benefits. The respondents were directed to make the payment to the petitioner with interest @ 9% per annum with effect from 31.07.2014 till the payment was made.

As per the stand taken in the written statement, the judgment dated 12.04.2016 was to be implemented within a period of two months from the date of order passed in CM No.9364 of 2016.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in compliance of the said judgment dated 12.04.2016, necessary payments have been released to the petitioner subject to outcome of LPA No.523 of 2017 and said LPA has also been dismissed on 27.07.2017. The pension case of the petitioner was forwarded to A.G. Haryana vide letter dated 04.05.2017.

According to learned counsel for the respondents, compliance of the order has been made, whereas learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is also entitled to interest on the amount of leave encashment.

In case any grievance of the petitioner is there as to payment of benefits with delay, the petitioner is at liberty to move a detailed representation to the respondent-authorities within a period of one month. The respondent are directed to consider the representation of the petitioner in pursuance of the judgment passed in his favour within a period of one month thereafter.

In case the petitioner is still aggrieved in any manner, he is at liberty to move an application for revival of the contempt petition.

The present contempt petition is disposed of accordingly.

29.08.2017

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No