

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

COCN No.2632 of 2017

Date of decision: September 18, 2017

Krishna Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

Dr. J. Ganesan and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Sham Lal Bhalla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

Notice of motion.

On asking of the court, Mr. Rohit Arya, AAG, Haryana accepts notice.

Petitioner alleges violation of order dated 08.09.2016, passed by the writ court, operative part thereof reads as under:-

“We find that all these multiple reliefs have been sought by the petitioner vide a legal notice dated 05.02.2016 (P-5) which has not been dealt with by the authorities so far. Since there are contentious factual issues raised by the petitioner, we dispose of this writ petition without expressing any views on merits, with a direction to respondent Nos.2 & 3 to take up the petitioner's claim and decide it by passing a speaking order within a period of four months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.”

Learned State counsel at the outset submits that in case no appeal is pending against the aforesaid order, same shall be complied with at the earliest, in any case not later than one month. In case it is found that

any fresh cause of action arises to the petitioner to invoke contempt jurisdiction of this court, exemplary costs shall be imposed, which shall be deducted from the salary of the official(s) responsible for delay/non-compliance.

Disposed of.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

September 18, 2017
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No