

**214 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCp No.2667 of 2015(O&M)
DATE OF DECISION:31.07.2017

Surinder Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

D. K. Pathak & another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Arun Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S. K. Sharma, Advocate
for the respondents.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that all benefits have been granted to the petitioner including order of promotion, whereas the grievance of the petitioner is that in the discharge certificate, his earlier designation has been mentioned.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has not shown any provision as to under what provision the designation can be corrected or there can be a change in the discharge certificate.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

In case any specific provision comes to the notice of the petitioner or his counsel, he can move application for revival of the contempt petition.

31.07.2017
rimpal

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No