

COCP No.2611 of 2016

Gurpreet Kaur
2017.04.25 11:37
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
high court

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

COCP No.2611 of 2016

Date of Decision: 19.04.2017

Surender

....Petitioner

Versus

Pankaj Bhalla

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Thakan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.K. Mittal, D.A.G., Haryana

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The award was passed in favour of the petitioner, whereby, the respondent was directed to reinstate the petitioner into service along with all consequential benefits but without back wages. Said award was challenged by the respondent by way of filing CWP No.1611 of 2015, which was dismissed vide order dated 04.08.2015 and the award passed by the Labour Court was upheld. A direction was also issued to the Management to reinstate the workmen with continuity of service within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner has been reinstated and the order passed by the Tribunal and the directions issued in the writ petition filed by the Management have been complied with.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has not disputed regarding reinstatement but he is also claiming benefits of continuity in service.

Since compliance of order is there, the present petition is

disposed of.

As far as the claim of continuity in service is there, the petitioner is at liberty to move representation to the respondent within a period of one month from today and in case, such a representation is moved, the respondent authority is directed to consider the same and pass necessary order in accordance with law within a period of two months thereafter.

19.04.2017
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	Yes