

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.O.C.P. No.2575 of 2016  
Date of Decision: 20.02.2017**

Nazim

..... Petitioner

*Versus*

Rajinder Singh, SSP, Kapurthala

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH**

Present: Mr. Surinder Gandhi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Additional Advocate General, Punjab  
for the respondent/contemner assisted by ASI Ajaib Singh.

\*\*\*\*

**JASWANT SINGH, J. (Oral)**

The representation of the petitioner made to SSP Kapurthala regarding his alleged abduction was disposed of by this Court vide order dated 27.06.2016 passed in CRM-M No.21658 of 2016 (**Annexure P-1**) with a direction to the SSP, Kapurthala to decide the representation of the petitioner in that regard.

At the time of hearing, detailed reply by way of affidavit dated 20.02.2017 of Sh. Rajinder Singh, SSP, Kapurthala has been filed in the Court, which is taken on record. Copy of the same has been furnished to learned Counsel for the petitioner.

A perusal of the reply shows that the allegations were enquired into and the same has been filed as the alleged offence is stated to have been committed within the jurisdiction of Uttar Pradesh.

Learned Counsel for the respondent submits that the delay in filing the reply was on account of a *bona fide* error, therefore, prays for

exemption from payment of the stipulated cost.

Prayer accepted. The respondent(s) are exempted from filing the cost of Rs.10,000/-, imposed by this Court vide order dated 15.11.2016.

In view of the aforesaid circumstances, no further action is warranted.

Accordingly, the present contempt petition stands dismissed as infructuous.

February 20, 2017

Gagan

(JASWANT SINGH)  
JUDGE

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes/No |