

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.O.C.P. No. 2542 of 2016

Date of Decision:- 13.02.2017

Sita Devi

....Petitioner

vs.

Ram Singh

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Parveen Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Kulwant Kaur Kahlon, Advocate,
Union of India.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

This contempt petition has been filed for non-compliance of order dated 19.1.2016 (Annexure P-1) passed by this Court in CWP No. 1047 of 2016 wherein notice was issued on 3.10.2016.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the claim of the petitioner has been considered and PPO number has been sent to the Bank which is annexed as Annexures R-2 with the reply filed by Union of India. Now the amount of pension is to be released by the Bank.

In view of statement made by learned counsel for the respondent, nothing survives in the present contempt petition.

In case, the petitioner is still aggrieved or the order passed by this Court has not been complied with in any manner, he is at liberty to move an application for revival of the contempt petition.

Contempt petition stands disposed of.

February 13, 2017

poonam

Whether speaking/reasoned

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)

JUDGE

Yes

Whether reportable

No