

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN No. 2501 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.02.2017

Harinder Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

Vikas Gupta, Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Sumit Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Chauhan, Advocate
for the respondent.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

It is claimed that after allotting the first plot measuring 250 sq. yards, the respondent has not taken any steps regarding the allotment of the second plot under the *oustee* quota. Since the respondent was not taking action on the representations submitted by the petitioner, he filed a writ petition bearing CWP No. 8526 of 2016, which was disposed of vide order dated 04.05.2016 (**Annexure P-1**) passed by a Division Bench of this Court, directing the respondents to consider the petitioner's representations dated 11.08.2015 and 08.03.2016 for further allotment of a plot measuring 250 sq. yards. by 31.07.2016.

Upon notice, an affidavit/reply of the respondent has been filed. Alongwith the said reply, a speaking order dated 30.01.2017 (**Annexure R-1**) has been appended, deciding the claim set up in the

representations of the petitioner. The said order has also been conveyed to the petitioner.

Learned State Counsel states that directions contained in the order dated 04.05.2016 (**P-1**) passed by this Court, have been fully complied with, therefore, no further action is warranted in the present contempt petition, as the same has become infructuous.

In view of above, present contempt petition stands dismissed as infructuous.

February 27, 2017

'dk kamra'

**(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No