

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**COCP-2417-2017 (O & M)
Date of decision: 29.08.2017**

Nachattar Singh

....Petitioner

V/s

Amar Partap Singh

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Rajan Gupta, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab, present in court, accepts
notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Petitioner alleges violation of order dated 31.05.2017 passed by
the writ court. Operative part thereof reads as under:-

*“The prayer of the petitioner appears to be fair and just.
Without going into the merits and demerits of the claim of the
petitioner, I deem it appropriate to direct the 3rd respondent-
Deputy commissioner, Sangrur to consider the representation
dated 03.05.2017 (Annexure P-2) within a period of two months
from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and shall
pass a speaking order thereon.”*

At the outset, learned State counsel submits that decision shall
be taken on the representation at the earliest, in any case, not later than one
month.

In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, no
further direction is necessary. However, in case, needful is not done,

petitioner has to invoke the jurisdiction of this court afresh, this court shall impose exemplary costs, which shall be deducted from the salary of the officials responsible for the delay/non-compliance.

Disposed of.

August 29, 2017
sukhpreet

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No